

**BEFORE THE MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY,
MUMBAI**

1. Complaint No. CC00600000090021

M/s. Unnathi Associates Complainant

Versus

M/s. Sai Shraddha Developers

M/s. Sai Pushp Enterprises

.... Respondents

Project Registration No. P51700006605

Along with

2. Complaint No. CC00600000090080

M/s. Unnathi Associates

.... Complainant

Versus

M/s. Sai Shraddha Developers

M/s. Sai Pushp Enterprises

.... Respondents

Project Registration No. P51700000912

Along with

3. Complaint No. CC00600000090088

M/s. Unnathi Associates

.... Complainant

Versus

M/s. Sai Shraddha Developers

M/s. Sai Pushp Enterprises

.... Respondents

Project Registration No. P51700000618

Alongwith

4. Complaint No. CC00600000090092

M/s. Unnathi Associates

.... Complainant

Versus

M/s. Sai Shraddha Developers

M/s. Sai Pushp Enterprises

.... Respondents

Project Registration No. P51700020265

Along with

5. Complaint No. CC00600000090093

M/s. Unnathi Associates

.... Complainant

Versus

M/s. Sai Shraddha Developers

M/s. Sai Pushp Enterprises

.... Respondents

Project Registration No. P51700000520

Coram: Dr. Vijay Satbir Singh, Hon'ble Member – I/MahaRERA

Adv. Anwar Landge appeared for the complainant.

Adv. Smita Halankar appeared for the respondents.

ORDER

(18th February, 2020)

1. The complainant has filed separate complaints seeking directions from MahaRERA to the respondents to upload its name as 'promoter' on the MahaRERA website in the project bearing MahaRERA Registration No. P51700000520, P51700000618, P517000005912, P51700006605 and P51700020265 at Thane under the provisions of the of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as "RERA") and also to hand over the constructed premises to the complainant as per the agreements executed between the complainant and the respondents in the above named projects of the respondents.
2. These complaints were clubbed together and heard on several occasions and finally heard today. During the hearing, both the parties filed joint applications on record of MahaRERA praying for further period of two weeks as they are trying to settle the matter amicably. If it is not settled, the matter might be heard on next date of hearing.
3. The MahaRERA has perused the record. It appears that these complaints have been filed in the month of July 2019 and these complaints were heard on 18.10.2019, 02.12.2019, 20.01.2020 and finally today. During the said hearings, the parties have sought time to submit their respective submissions on record of MahaRERA and in compliance of principles of natural justice, adequate time has been granted to both the parties to make their respective submissions. However, the parties have failed to complete the pleadings.
4. In view of the aforesaid facts, the MahaRERA directs the rival parties to settle the matter amicably and file consent terms on record of MahaRERA. If the

settlement does not take place, the complainant would be at liberty to approach MahaRERA and agitate its grievances.

5. With the above directions, all five complaints stand disposed of.



(Dr. Vijay Satbir Singh)
Member – 1/MahaRERA

