MAHARASHTRA REAL ESTATE REGULATORY AUTHORITY, MUMBAI

1.	Complaint No. CC006000000193008	;
Narendra Kumar Dhadd	a (Complainant
	Vs.	•
M/s. Indiabulls Infrastru Respondent	ucture Ltd.	
	Along With	
2.	Complaint No. CC006000000193010)
Pratibhadevi Dhadda. Complainant		
•	Vs.	
M/s. Indiabulls Infrastru Respondent	ucture Ltd	••••
·	Along With	
3.	Complaint No. CC006000000193010)
Sarita Nehal Dhadda. Complainant	·	••••
•	Vs.	
M/s. Indiabulls Infrastru Respondent	ucture Ltd	••••

MahaRera project registration No. P51900000469

Coram: Dr. Vijay Satbir Singh, Hon'ble Member I / MahaRERA

Adv. Gautam Sahni a/w. Adv. Prakriti Shah appeared for the complainants Adv. Vatsal Shah a/w. Mr. Soham Hatkar appeared for the respondent

ORDER (22nd January 2021) (Through Video Conferencing)

1. The complainants above named have filed the present 3 separate complaints seeking direction from the MahaRERA to the respondent to pay interest / compensation for the period of delayed possession under the provisions of section 18 of the Real Estate (Regulation & Development) Act, 2016 (hereinafter referred to as "the RERA") in respect of booking of their respective flats in the respondent's project known as "Indiabulls Blu Tower A" bearing registration no. P51900000469 at Worli, Mumbai.

2. These complaints were clubbed together and heard finally today as per the Standard Operating Procedure dated 12-06-2020 issued by MahaRERA for hearing of the complaints through Video Conferencing. Both the parties have been issued prior intimation of this hearing and they were also informed to file their written submissions, if any.

Accordingly the parties appeared for the hearing and made their

respective submissions.

3. During the course of hearing the advocate for the respondent has informed MahaRERA that the complainants have wrongly filed these complaints in this project as they have booked their respective flats in Tower No. B and these complaints have been filed in respect of Tower

A and hence these complaints are not maintainable in this project.

4. The advocate for the complainants on instructions confirmed the said fact and sought withdrawal of these 12 complaints with liberty to file the same in the proper project registration number. The said request of the complainants is granted and the complainants are allowed to

withdraw these complaints.

5. Consequently, these complaints stands dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as sought by the complainants.

(Dr Vijay SathirSingh

Member - 1/MahaRERA